

520 South Main Street
Suite 2531
Akron, OH 44311
PH 330.572.2100
FX 330.572.2102

The Akron Architectural Group

Braun & Steidl Architects ■ GPD Group ■ Hasenstab Architects, Inc. ■ Moody Nolan, Inc. ■ TC Architects, Incorporated ■
URS

AKRON PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Schematic Design Community Planning Team Meeting #2
Voris Community Learning Center
Wednesday June 23 @ 6:00 p.m.

In Attendance

K. Waller.....	AAG
J. Guldenzopf.....	AAG
R. Heimbaugh.....	Akron Public Schools
F. Herr.....	Akron Public Schools
R. Mikula.....	Akron Public Schools
T. Long.....	City of Akron
B. Duncan.....	City of Akron
G. Wolfe.....	School Staff
J. Bucy.....	School Staff
L. Ereth.....	School Staff
S. Donahue.....	School Staff
W. Miller.....	CPT
M. Marz.....	CPT
S. Carr.....	CPT
J. Natool.....	CPT
M. Becker.....	CPT
K. Conrad.....	CPT

Distribution

AAG Project Managers	
M. Salopek.....	AAG
D. Mitchell.....	AAG
D. James.....	Akron Public Schools
J. Beal.....	Akron Public Schools
K. Ingram.....	Akron Public Schools
L. Duncan.....	City of Akron
T. Long.....	City of Akron
M. Williamson.....	City of Akron
D. Krutz.....	RKPS
L. Jennings.....	Burges and Burges

The following is a review of items discussed at the meeting:

1. K. Waller & J. Guldenzopf presented 6 options for the exterior appearance of the building. Each scheme was either derived from 1 of the 2 options presented at the previous meeting or some combination thereof. K. Waller noted that the group wished to see different multiple variations on the previously unveiled schemes.
2. J. Guldenzopf described the ideas behind each of the schemes and explained some of the likely cost implications of various schemes.
3. **If the clerestory windows get eliminated because of the budget, will the building still receive natural light?** K. Waller indicated areas around the building such as the main entrance, stairways and Media Center that are all designed to receive comfortable amounts of natural light.
4. **What happens if the metal roof causes the project cost to exceed the budget?** The likely alternative in that case would be a membrane roof. Asphalt shingles have not been discussed. However, the architects don't feel it would be the best solution for this building.
5. **Which roof lasts longer – pitched or flat?** The advantages and drawbacks of both roof types were discussed. K. Waller stated that the life of a roof will depend on the quality of its installation and the regularity with which it is maintained.
6. The CPT agreed that Scheme A-2 is their favorite of all. It reflects the building characteristics stated by the group in previous meetings.
7. **What happens over the Kindergarten rooms where they project out from under the second floor?** A small area of flat roof will be visible from the windows of second floor rooms directly above the Kindergarten rooms.
8. **Will the gymnasium have lockers?** T. Long replied that the OSFC does not fund bleachers for elementary gymnasiums. CLCs serving middle school aged students will have gymnasiums that can accommodate functions requiring spectator seating.
9. K. Waller presented floor plans and described how the design has advanced since plans were shown to the group previously. The current plan shows how the office areas are arranged.
10. The next meeting will be held on July 21, at 6:00 p.m.

The preceding is AAG's interpretation of the meeting. Any changes, additions, or clarifications should be forwarded to our office as soon as possible. Unless these changes are furnished within ten (10) working days, it will be understood that all parties are in agreement with the preceding statements.