

The Akron Architectural Group

Braun & Steidl Architects ■ GPD Group ■ Hasenstab Architects, Inc. ■ Moody Nolan, Inc. ■ TC Architects, Incorporated ■ URS
AKRON PUBLIC SCHOOLS

**POR Community Meeting #2
 Glover Elementary CLC
 Thursday, May 5, 2005, at 6:00 P.M.**

In Attendance

A. Cogar.....	CPT
B. Edwards.....	CPT
J. Cox.....	PTA
J. Paulus.....	Akron Public Schools
K. Cox.....	PTA
T. Tatum.....	Akron Public Schools
M. Rudd.....	PTA
A. Hummel.....	PTA
C. Saloam.....	PTA
J. Dawson.....	Akron Public Schools
Y. Bell.....	PTA
J. Beal.....	Akron Public Schools
S. Lukens.....	AAG
L. Duncan.....	... City of Akron

Distribution

W. Ahern.....	AAG
M. Salopek.....	AAG
R. King.....	AAG
D. Mitchell.....	AAG
J. Barath.....	AAG
J. Wheeler.....	AAG
K. Waller.....	AAG
D. James.....	Akron Public Schools
J. Beal.....	Akron Public Schools
K. Balchak.....	Akron Public Schools
L. Duncan.....	City of Akron
T. Long.....	City of Akron
M. Williamson.....	City of Akron
D. Krutz.....	RKPS
J. Dawson.....	School Principal

I. Items Discussed:

- A. Scott Lukens presented a Matrix indicating ideas on how the extra-curricular activities identified by the CPT group in the last RUS meeting could be incorporated in the POR set up by the state and the APS. A copy of the proposed matrix was distributed to the group as a hand out. This is the same Matrix attached to RUS #2 minutes.
- B. Jim Beal explained to the audience the current status of the project stating that we are completing the POR phase and will soon enter the Schematic Design phase.
- C. The Glover CPT reviewed the proposed matrix and made some modifications. We found that all of their current activities appear to be able to be accommodated into the proposed program.

- D. Loraine Duncan emphasized the importance of the new facility as a community center. She asked the group to understand that this will be a community center and to consider all potential community activities that may occur within the new building.
- E. The community team added the following potential community activities:
 - a. A book club
 - b. A cheering/marching group
 - c. Care for children during community activities
 - d. Children's services.
- F. Scott Lukens presented the typical AAG programming diagram, (see attached), to the audience. Scott explained that the square and rectangular images indicate the numbers and sizes of spaces available in the current plan.
- G. Scott reiterated that this diagrams the building blocks that will be used to begin the schematic design of the building.
- H. There continues to be considerable discussion concerning security at the new CLC. Exterior cameras were strongly suggested!
- I. A member of the audience suggested bleachers or other type of seating be provided in the gym for spectators of the community activities.
- J. A staff member suggested that part of the music room be separated into three sections to accommodate band and strings.
- K. Scott Lukens introduced an aerial view of the current Glover site for discussion and suggestions. Scott asked the group to identify the problems or issues at the current site. Below are some of the issues identified by the group:
 - a. Vehicular circulation and drop off in the morning.
 - b. Vehicular circulation and pick up after school.
 - c. More parking and separate parking from play areas.
 - d. The school is very hot in the afternoon.
 - e. We should plan for future busing.
 - f. Currently the children come into the building through multiple entrances for crowd control.
 - g. For security, there should be only one entrance available for the public.
 - h. It appears the 'Glover Park' will not be an issue and will be absorbing into the school site plan.
- L. Jim Beal closed the meeting by encouraging the audience to stay involved with the process. The next meeting will be coordinated with John Dawson and he will inform the staff of the next meeting date.

The preceding is AAG's interpretation of the meeting. Any changes, additions, or clarifications should be forwarded to our office as soon as possible. Unless these changes are furnished within ten (10 working days, it will be understood that all parties are in agreement with the preceding statements.

Prepared by: Scott Lukens, AAG